

ON THE NUMBER OF TOPOLOGIES ON A FINITE SET

M.YASİR KIZMAZ

ABSTRACT. We denote the number of distinct topologies which can be defined on the set X with n elements by $T(n)$. Similarly, $T_0(n)$ denotes the number of distinct T_0 topologies on the set X . In the present paper, we prove that for any prime p , $T(p^k) \equiv k + 1 \pmod{p}$, and that for each non-negative integer n there exists a unique k such that $T(p+n) \equiv k$. We calculate k for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We give an elementary proof for a result of Z.I.Borevich to the effect that $T_0(p+n) \equiv T_0(n+1) \pmod{p}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a finite set X with n elements, let $\mathfrak{T}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{T}_0(X)$ be the family of all topologies on X and the family of all T_0 topologies on X , respectively. We denote the cardinality of $\mathfrak{T}(X)$ by $T(n)$ and the cardinality of $\mathfrak{T}_0(X)$ by $T_0(n)$. There is no simple formula giving $T(n)$ and $T_0(n)$.

Calculation of these sequences by hand becomes very hard for $n \geq 4$. The online encyclopedia of N. J. A. Sloane [1] gives the values of $T(n)$ and $T_0(n)$ for $n \leq 18$. For a more detailed discussion of results in literature we refer to the article by Borevich ([2]).

In the present paper, we prove that for any prime p , $T(p^k) \equiv k + 1 \pmod{p}$, and that for each non-negative integer n there exists a unique k such that $T(p+n) \equiv k$. We calculate k for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. We give an elementary proof for a result of Borevich ([2]) to the effect that $T_0(p+n) \equiv T_0(n+1) \pmod{p}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B50, Secondary 11B05.
Key words and phrases. topology, finite sets, T_0 topology.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let G be a group acting on the finite set X . Then the action of G on X can be extended to the action of G on $\mathfrak{T}(X)$ by setting $g\tau = \{gU \mid \text{for } U \in \tau\}$ where $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(X)$ and $gU = \{gu \mid u \in U\}$. Now, set $\text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X)) = \{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(X) \mid g\tau = \tau \text{ for all } g \in G\}$. Notice that if G is p -group for a prime p , $|\mathfrak{T}(X)| \equiv |\text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X))| \pmod{p}$ as every non-fixed element of $\mathfrak{T}(X)$ has orbit with cardinality a positive power of p . For a given topology $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(X)$ and $x \in X$, we denote the intersection of all open sets of τ including x by O_x . Note that $O_x \in \tau$ as we are in the finite case.

Definition 2.1. A base $\mathfrak{B}$ of a topology τ is called a minimal base if any base of the topology contains $\mathfrak{B}$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(X)$ and M_τ be the set of all distinct O_x for $x \in X$. A base $\mathfrak{B}$ of τ is a minimal base if and only if $\mathfrak{B} = M_\tau$.

By the proposition, we extend the definition: a base $\mathfrak{B}$ on X is minimal if $\mathfrak{B} = M_\tau$ where τ is the topology generated by $\mathfrak{B}$.

Lemma 2.3. $\tau \in \text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X))$ if and only if M_τ is G -invariant.

Proof. Let $\tau \in \text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X))$ and $O_x \in M_\tau$. We need to show that $gO_x \in M_\tau$. Let $gx = y$ for $g \in G$. As $g\tau = \tau$, $gO_x \in \tau$. Thus, gO_x is an open set containing the element $gx = y$. Hence, $O_y \subseteq gO_x$. Since $g^{-1}y = x$, we can show that $O_x \subseteq g^{-1}O_y$ which force $gO_x = O_y$. So, M_τ is G -invariant. If M_τ is G -invariant then clearly the topology τ generated by this base is G -invariant. Hence, $\tau \in \text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X))$. This proof also shows that G acts on M_τ if $\tau \in \text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X))$. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. $T(p^k) \equiv k+1 \pmod{p}$ where k is a non-negative integer and p is a prime number.

Proof. . Without loss of generality, let X be the cyclic group of order p^k , that is, $X = C_{p^k}$. Clearly X acts on X by left multiplication. By extending this action, X acts on $\mathfrak{T}(X)$. Notice that $|\mathfrak{T}(X)| \equiv |Fix(\mathfrak{T}(X))| \pmod{p}$ as X is a p -group. It is left to show that $Fix(\mathfrak{T}(X))$ has $k + 1$ elements. Let $\tau \in Fix(\mathfrak{T}(X))$ and let $O_x, O_y \in M_\tau$ for $x, y \in X$. Then $(yx^{-1})O_x$ is an open set including y . Hence, $O_y \subseteq (yx^{-1})O_x$ which means $|O_y| \leq |O_x|$. The other inclusion can be done similarly so $|O_x| = |O_y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Now, If $m \in O_x \cap O_y$, then $O_m \subseteq O_x \cap O_y$. As their orders are equal, we must have $O_x = O_y$ or $O_x \cap O_y = \emptyset$. Thus, X is a disjoint union of elements of M_τ . X also acts on M_τ by Lemma 2.3. and this action is transitive. Let e be the identity element of X and $Stab(O_e)$ be the stabilizer of O_e in X . We have $Stab(O_e).O_e = O_e$. Since $e \in O_e$, $Stab(O_e) \subseteq O_e$. As $|X : Stab(O_e)| = |M_\tau| = \frac{|X|}{|O_e|}$, we have $|Stab(O_e)| = |O_e|$ which implies that $Stab(O_e) = O_e$. Hence, the set M_τ is the set of left cosets of a subgroup of X . Since the chosen topology τ from $Fix(\mathfrak{T}(X))$ uniquely determines M_τ and M_τ uniquely determines a subgroup O_e of X , we have an injection from $Fix(\mathfrak{T}(X))$ to the set of all subgroups of X . Conversely, for a subgroup H of X , the set of left cosets of H form a minimal base for a topology. The topology τ generated by this base is an element of $Fix(\mathfrak{T}(X))$ by Lemma 2.3. Hence, the cardinality of $Fix(\mathfrak{T}(X))$ is equal to the number of the subgroups of X , which is $k + 1$. □

By applying same method in the above proof, we can also show that $T_0(p^k) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Actually, Z. I. Borevich proved more general result about $T_0(n)$. Now, we will establish elementary proof for the theorem of Z. I. Borevich.

Theorem 2.5 (Z. I. Borevich). *For a given nonnegative integer n and prime p , $T_0(n+p) \equiv T_0(n+1) \pmod{p}$.*

Let $C = C_p$ be the cyclic group of order p and N be a set with n elements. Without loss of generality, let X be a disjoint union of C and N so that the cardinality of X is $p+n$. We define the action of C on X in a following way: for $c \in C$ and for $x \in X$, $c * x = cx$ if $x \in C$ and $c * x = x$ if $x \in N$. Then the action of C on X can be extended to the action of C on $\mathfrak{T}_0(X)$. As $|\mathfrak{T}_0(X)| \equiv |\text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}_0(X))| \pmod{p}$, it is left to show that $|\text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}_0(X))| = T_0(n+1)$. Let $\tau \in \text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}_0(X))$ and let $x, y \in C$ with $x \neq y$. We know that $yx^{-1}O_x = O_y$. Then we can observe that $O_x \cap N = O_y \cap N$ as N is fixed by C . Similarly, $O_x \cap C$ and $O_y \cap C$ are disjoint or equal. But we can not have $O_x = O_y$ as it is a T_0 topology. Hence $O_x \cap C \neq O_y \cap C$. Then we must have $O_x \cap C = \{x\}$ for all $x \in C$. For $a \in N$, $x(C \cap O_a) = C \cap O_a$ which force that $C \cap O_a$ is C or $\emptyset$. Set $\bar{X} = X/\sim$ where $x \sim y$ if $x, y \in C$. Notice that the minimal base M_τ of X induce a minimal base on $\bar{X}$ by setting $O_{\bar{x}} = \bar{O}_x$. It is easy to see that this map is one to one on the minimal bases of $\tau \in \text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}_0)$. Hence, $|\text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}_0(X))| \leq T_0(n+1)$. Conversely, Let $\bar{\tau} \in \mathfrak{T}_0(\bar{X})$, then set $O_x = \{x\} \cup (O_{\bar{x}} \setminus \{\bar{x}\})$. Note that for $a \in N$, $\bar{a} = a$. It is easy to see that induced minimal base is C -invariant. Then the induced topology $\tau \in \text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}_0(X))$. Having other inclusion concludes the proof.

Corollary 2.6. $T_0(p^k) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ where k is a non-negative integer and p is a prime number.

The proof of next theorem is similar with the proof of Theorem 2.5. For clarity, we repeat some arguments.

Theorem 2.7. *For a given nonnegative integer n , there exists a unique integer k such that $T(p+n) \equiv k \pmod{p}$ for all primes p .*

Proof. If the given integer $n = 0$, $T(p) \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ by Theorem 1. Hence we can assume that $n > 0$. Let $C = C_p$ be the cyclic group of order p and N be a set with n elements. We set X and define the action of C on X as in the proof the previous theorem. Then the action of C on X can be extended to the action of C on $\mathfrak{T}(X)$. As $|\mathfrak{T}(X)| \equiv |\text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X))| \pmod{p}$, it is left to show that $|\text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X))|$ does not depend on the choice of prime p . By the Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, $|\text{Fix}(\mathfrak{T}(X))|$ is equal to the number of C -invariant minimal basis on X . Let $x, y \in C$. Notice that O_x completely determine O_y as $(yx^{-1})O_x = O_y$. Then $|O_x \cap C| = |O_y \cap C|$ as $yx^{-1}(O_x \cap C) = O_y \cap C$. Now, it is easy to see that $O_x \cap C$ and $O_y \cap C$ is either disjoint or equal. As cardinality of C is prime, $O_x \cap C$ is equal to $\{x\}$ or C . If $a \in N$ then we must have $gO_a = O_a$ for all $g \in C$ as $ga = a$. Hence, $O_a \cap C = \emptyset$ or $O_a \cap C = C$. Thus, number of the elements of the C has no contribution to the the number of the possible minimal bases. Now we know that such k exist. If k' is also such integer than $k \equiv k' \pmod{p}$ for all prime p . Hence $k - k'$ is divisible by all primes which force $k - k' = 0$. $\square$

By the previous theorem we see that k is uniquely determined by n . Hence we can use $k(n)$ to denote k for a given n . The proof the theorem also gives algorithm to calculate $k(n)$ for a given n but when n is larger, calculation of congruence becomes difficult. Here, we calculate for $n = 1$.

Corollary 2.8. $T(p+1) \equiv 7 \pmod{p}$ for all primes p .

Proof. We follow the proof the Theorem 2.6. We need to show that it has exactly seven C -invariant minimal bases. Let $x \in C$ then $O_x \cap C = C$ or $O_x \cap C = \{x\}$.

Case1: Let $O_x \cap C = C$ then $O_x = C$ or $O_x = C \cup \{a\}$. For both cases $O_a = \{a\}$ or $O_a = C \cup \{a\}$. We count 4 different possibilities.

Case2: Let $O_x \cap C = \{x\}$ then $O_x = \{x\}$ or $O_x = \{x, a\}$ where a is the unique element of N . If $O_x = \{x\}$ then $O_a = \{a\}$ or $O_a = C \cap N$. If $O_x = \{x, a\}$ then $O_a \subseteq O_x$ which force $O_a = \{a\}$. We count three possible sub-cases. All together we have 7 possible cases. $\square$

We develop new method to calculate $k(n)$ for larger n . But the method requires to know some values of $T(s)$ for some s .

Theorem 2.9. *The sequence $k(n)$ satisfies the following inequality;
 $T(n+1) < k(n) < 2T(n+1)$.*

Proof. We again the follow the proof of the Theorem 2.6. To count $k(n)$, we have two main case,

Case1: Let $O_x \cap C = C$ for $x \in C$. Then $O_y \cap C = C$ for all $y \in C$ and $O_x = O_y$ for all $x, y \in C$. If $a \in N$ then $O_a \cap C$ equals to C or $\emptyset$. Hence we can see whole C as a one element. Then we have $T(n+1)$ possible case.

Case2: Let $O_x \cap C = \{x\}$ for $x \in C$. Again we have $O_x = O_y$ for all $x, y \in C$. Hence we have at most $T(n+1)$ possible case. But we can not have exactly $T(n+1)$ possible cases. To see this set $O_x = \{x, a\}$ for $a \in N$. Then O_a can not be $\{x, a\}$. Then the result follows. $\square$

Theorem 2.10. *The sequence $k(n) := 7, 51, 634, 12623$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ respectively.*

We only show the calculation of $k(2)$. The others calculation are similar. By previous theorem $T(3) < k(2) < 2*T(3)$ so $29 < k(2) < 58$. Since

$$T(4) \equiv k(2) \pmod{2}$$

$$T(5) \equiv k(2) \pmod{3}$$

$$T(7) \equiv k(2) \pmod{5}$$

By solving the above congruence relation, we get $k(2) \equiv 21 \pmod{30}$.

By the inequality we have $k(2) = 51$.

For $n = 3, 4$, we have same procedure. For $n \geq 5$, we do not have unique solution satisfying the inequality.

Closed form of $k(n)$ seems to be another open problem. Hence, calculation of $k(n)$ for specific n or some better lower and upper bounds can be seen as new problem arising from this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. J. A. Sloane, *Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*(Concerned with sequences A000798,A001035)
- [2] Z.I.Borevich,*Periodicity Of Residues of The number Of Finite Labeled Topologies*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics, 24, No. 4, 391-395 (1984)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ANKARA
06531, TURKEY

E-mail address: yasir@metu.edu.tr